

Soft dynamics of large transverse momentum processes

S.M. Troshin

*Institute for High Energy Physics,
Protvino, Moscow Region, 142281 Russia*

Abstract

We discuss the point that the processes with high transverse momenta could have a nonperturbative origin. This discussion is motivated by the fact that there are several experimental indications that such processes can originate from soft dynamics. The model combined with unitarity and impact parameter picture provides simple mechanism for the hadron production and reproduces power like dependence of the differential cross-sections in such processes at high transverse momenta and exponential behavior at small values of $p_{\perp}$. This model can be used for support of the argument that the soft dynamics can be responsible for large transverse momenta processes.

Introduction

It is widely accepted that perturbative QCD calculations of hard hadronic processes are in good agreement with experimental data on the differential cross-sections at high $p_{\perp}$. This agreement is certainly one of pQCD successes. However, power-like dependence of the cross-sections can be based on the different ground. It is well known that such dependence has been obtained [1, 2, 3] on the basis of the parton model and dimensional scaling prior to the perturbative QCD calculations.

The perturbative QCD is well elaborated theory and provides predictions for many phenomena and among them are helicity conservation [4] in hard processes and existence of the new form of matter with free quarks and gluons – quark-gluon plasma [5]. However, violation of helicity conservation has been observed in many experiments and has a rather long experimental history [6], while strongly interacting deconfined matter in heavy-ion collisions at RHIC was revealed just recently [7]. It appeared that instead of gas of free quarks and gluons the matter created at RHIC is an almost perfect liquid. The nature of this new form of matter is not known and the variety of models has been proposed to treat its properties [8]. This important result is that beyond the critical values of density and temperature the matter is strongly correlated and reveals high degree of the coherence. The elliptic flow and constituent quark scaling of the observable v_2 demonstrated an importance of the constituent quarks [9] and their role as effective degrees of freedom of the newly discovered form of matter. Generally speaking this result has shown again an importance of the nonperturbative effects in the region where such effects were usually not expected.

It appeared also that the inclusive cross-section of pion production in Au+Au heavy-ion collisions has a power-like tail in the high $p_{\perp}$ region [10]. It is no need to comment that such power-like dependence always being considered as a manifestation of the hard, short distance processes where asymptotic freedom is at work. Thus power-like behavior of inclusive cross-sections and the strongly interacting nature of quark matter can be attributed to different dynamics. But it is difficult to imagine how both phenomena can coexist in the strongly interacting coherent medium observed at RHIC when thermalization occurs at very early stage of reaction. It seems that it is natural to suppose that they can have the same origin. One should in principle arrive then to conclusion that the power-like dependence of the differential cross-sections should not necessary be associated with the processes treated by perturbative QCD. This viewpoint has support in the results on polarization measurements which also indicate possibility of power-like behavior due to soft dynamics [6]. It should be recollected that the energies where power-like dependence in exclusive processes was experimentally observed are evidently too low to be considered as a true asymptotic perturbative QCD do-

main. Such dependence of the differential cross-section of exclusive processes also might be related to nonperturbative dynamics [11] because it would be rather strange to expect that perturbative QCD can be applied in this region.

Note also that exponent in the inclusive cross-section dependence at high $p_\perp$ varies from 6 to 12 in different kinematic regions and in average is almost twice bigger than 4 predicted by the leading twist perturbative QCD calculations.

In this note in framework of the nonperturbative approach and its realization in the particular model we show that power-like dependence of inclusive cross-section at high $p_\perp$ can result from soft interaction dynamics, which produces simultaneously exponential dependence in the region of small transverse momenta.

1 Chiral quark model and an effective field in hadron interaction

In the nonperturbative sector of QCD the two important phenomena, confinement and spontaneous breaking of chiral symmetry (χ SB)[12] should be reproduced. The relevant scales are characterized by the parameters Λ_{QCD} and Λ_χ , respectively. Chiral $SU(3)_L \times SU(3)_R$ symmetry is spontaneously broken at the distances in the range between these two scales. The χ SB mechanism leads to generation of quark masses and appearance of quark condensates. It describes transition of current into constituent quarks. Constituent quarks are the quasiparticles, i.e. they are a coherent superposition of bare quarks, their masses have a magnitude comparable to a hadron mass scale. Therefore hadron is often represented as a loosely bounded system of the constituent quarks. These observations on the hadron structure lead to understanding of several regularities observed in hadron interactions at large distances. It is well known that such picture provides reasonable values for the static characteristics of hadrons, for instance, their magnetic moments. The other well known straightforward result is appearance of the Goldstone bosons.

We will appeal to the model for the hadron scattering described in [13] and develop it further for the purposes mentioned above. It is based on the ideas of chiral quark models and mean field approximation to hadron structure (cf. [14]). As it was already mentioned constituent quarks and Goldstone bosons are the effective degrees of freedom in the chiral quark model and therefore we consider a hadron consisting of the valence constituent quarks located in the central core which is embedded into a quark condensate. Collective excitations of the condensate are the Goldstone bosons and the constituent quarks interact via exchange of Goldstone bosons; this interaction is mainly due to a pion field and is of the flavor– and spin–exchange nature. Thus, quarks generate a strong field which binds them.

The general form of the effective lagrangian relevant for description of the non-perturbative phase of QCD proposed in [15] is the following $\mathcal{L}_{QCD} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{eff}$ and includes the three terms

$$\mathcal{L}_{eff} = \mathcal{L}_\chi + \mathcal{L}_I + \mathcal{L}_C.$$

Here $\mathcal{L}_\chi$ is responsible for the spontaneous chiral symmetry breaking and turns on first. To account for the constituent quark interaction and confinement the terms $\mathcal{L}_I$ and $\mathcal{L}_C$ are introduced. The $\mathcal{L}_I$ and $\mathcal{L}_C$ do not affect the internal structure of the constituent quarks.

The picture of a hadron consisting of constituent quarks embedded into quark condensate implies that overlapping and interaction of peripheral clouds occur at the first stage of hadron interaction. At this stage the part of the effective lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_C$ is turned off. (It turned on again in the final stage of the reaction). Nonlinear field couplings could transform then the kinetic energy to internal energy and mechanism of such transformations was discussed by Heisenberg [16] and Carruthers [17]. As a result massive virtual quarks appear in the overlapping region and some effective field is generated. Valence constituent quarks located in the central part of hadrons are supposed to scatter simultaneously in a quasi-independent way by this effective field. Thus, this picture assumes deconfinement at the initial stage of the hadron collisions and generation of common for both hadrons mean field during this stage. It might happen that the similar mechanism is responsible for the creation of the strongly interacting matter in heavy-ion collisions due to strong interaction of constituent quarks with chiral field. The existence of the massive quark-antiquark matter at the stage preceding the hadronization seems to be supported by the experimental data obtained at CERN SPS and RHIC and can be reconciled with the possible flash-like particle emission [18] (cf. also [9, 19] and references therein¹).

Massive virtual quarks (newly formed constituent quarks) play a role of scatterers (the part of the effective lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_I$ plays the main role in this phase of hadron interaction) for the valence quarks in elastic hadron scattering and their hadronization leads to production of the secondary particles in multiparticle processes. To estimate number of such quarks one could assume that part of hadron energy carried by the outer condensate clouds is released in the overlap region to generate those quarks. Then this number can be estimated by:

$$\tilde{N}(s, b) \propto \frac{(1 - \langle k_Q \rangle) \sqrt{s}}{m_Q} D_c^{h_1} \otimes D_c^{h_2} \equiv N_0(s) D_C(b), \quad (1)$$

¹It should be noted that the various aspects of similarity between hadron and heavy-ion interaction dynamics in the historical context of search for the quark-gluon plasma are discussed in detail in [20]

where m_Q – constituent quark mass, $\langle k_Q \rangle$ – average fraction of hadron energy carried by the constituent valence quarks. Function D_c^h describes condensate distribution inside the hadron h , and b is an impact parameter of the colliding hadrons.

Thus, $\tilde{N}(s, b)$ quarks appear in addition to $N = n_{h_1} + n_{h_2}$ valence quarks. In elastic scattering those quarks are transient ones: they are transformed back into the condensates of the final hadrons. Calculation of elastic scattering amplitude has been performed in [13]. However, valence quarks can excite a part of the cloud of the virtual massive quarks and these virtual massive quarks will subsequently fragment into the multiparticle final states. The triggering mechanism of such excitation is based on the feature of chiral quark model that constituent quark $Q_\uparrow$ with transverse spin in up-direction can fluctuate into Goldstone boson and another constituent quark $Q'_\downarrow$ with opposite spin direction, i. e. perform a spin-flip transition [21] with impact parameter distortion:

$$Q_{\uparrow,\downarrow} \rightarrow GB + Q'_{\downarrow,\uparrow} \rightarrow Q + \bar{Q}' + Q'_{\downarrow,\uparrow}. \quad (2)$$

It is important to note here that the shift of $\tilde{b}$ (the impact parameter of final particle) is translated to the shift of the impact parameter of the initial particles according to the relation between impact parameters in the multiparticle production[22]:

$$\mathbf{b} = \sum_i x_i \tilde{\mathbf{b}}_i. \quad (3)$$

The variable $\tilde{b}$ is conjugated to the transverse momentum of final pion.

We consider production of pions in the fragmentation region, i.e. at large x_F and therefore use an approximate relation

$$b \simeq x_F \tilde{b}, \quad (4)$$

which results from Eq. (3)².

We will discuss how this mechanism can produce power-like dependence of inclusive cross-section in what follows. This mechanism is responsible for the particle production in the fragmentation region and should lead to strong correlations between secondary particles. It means that correlations exist between particles from the same (short-range correlations) and different clusters (long-range correlations) and, in particular, the forward-backward multiplicity correlations should be observed. This mechanism can be called a correlated cluster production mechanism.

Direct, i.e. not induced by the valence quarks hadronization of the part of the massive $\tilde{N}(s, b)$ constituent quarks, leads to formation of the multiparticle final

²We make here an additional assumption on the small values of Feynman x for other particles

states in the central region. These states are also correlated due to strong constituent quark interactions and it would results in correlations in the multiplicity distribution.

2 Inclusive pion cross-sections at high transverse momenta

Unpolarized cross-section of pion production with account of unitarity in the rational form has the following form [23]:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\xi} = 8\pi \int_0^\infty b db \frac{I_0(s, b, \xi)}{|1 - iU(s, b)|^2}. \quad (5)$$

In the fragmentation region we can simplify the problem and consider for example the process of pion-production as a quasi two-particle reaction, where the second final particle has a mass $M^2 \simeq (1 - x_F)s$. The amplitude of this quasi two-particle reaction in the pure imaginary case (which we consider for simplicity) can be written in the form

$$F(s, p_\perp, x_F) = \frac{is}{x_F^2 \pi^2} \int_0^\infty b db J_0(bp_\perp/x_F) \frac{I_0^{1/2}(s, b, x_F)}{1 + U(s, b)}. \quad (6)$$

We consider neutral pion production and take constituent quarks U and D masses to be equal³. Pion appear as a result of transition $(U, D)_{\uparrow, \downarrow} \rightarrow (U, D)_{\downarrow, \uparrow} + \pi^0$. The function $I_0^{\pi^0}(s, b, x_F)$ according to quasi-independent nature of constituent quark-scattering can be represented then as a product in a way similar to the case of elastic scattering [13]:

$$I_0^{\pi^0}(s, b, x_F) = \left[\prod_{Q=1}^{N-1} \langle f_Q(s, b) \rangle \right] \langle f_{\pi^0}(s, b, x_F) \rangle, \quad (7)$$

where N is the total number of quarks in the colliding hadrons.

In the model the b -dependencies of the amplitudes $\langle f_Q \rangle$ and $\langle f_{\pi^0} \rangle$ are related to the strong formfactor of the constituent quark and transitional spin-flip formfactor respectively. The strong interaction radius of constituent quark is determined by its mass. We suppose that the corresponding radius of transitional formfactor is determined by the mass m_Q and factor $\kappa < 1$ (which takes into account reduction

³Charged pion production can be treated in a similar way.

of the radius due to spin flip), i.e. $r_{\pi^0}^{flip} = \kappa\zeta/m_Q$ and the corresponding function $f_{\pi^0}(s, b, x_F)$ has the form

$$f_{\pi^0}(s, b, x_F) = g_{flip}(x_F) \exp\left(-\frac{m_Q}{\kappa\zeta}b\right) \quad (8)$$

The expression for $I_0(s, b, x_F)$ can be rewritten then in the following form:

$$I_0(s, b, x_F) = \frac{\bar{g}(x_F)}{g_Q(s)} U(s, b) \exp[-\Delta m_Q b/\zeta], \quad (9)$$

where the mass difference $\Delta m_Q \equiv m_Q/\kappa - m_Q$ and $\bar{g}(x_F)$ is the function whose dependence on Feynman x_F in the model is not fixed.

Now we can consider $p_\perp$ - and x_F -dependencies of the pion production cross-section and we start with angular distribution⁴. The corresponding amplitude $F(s, p_\perp, x_F)$ can be calculated analytically. To do so we continue the amplitudes $F(s, \beta, x_F)$, $\beta = b^2$, where

$$F(s, \beta, x_F) = \frac{1}{x_F^2} \frac{I_0^{1/2}(s, \beta, x_F)}{1 + U(s, \beta)}$$

to the complex β -plane and transform the Fourier–Bessel integral over impact parameter into the integral in the complex β -plane over the contour C which goes around the positive semiaxis. Then for the amplitude $F(s, p_\perp, x_F)$ the following representation takes place:

$$F(s, p_\perp, x_F) = -\frac{is}{2\pi^3} \int_C d\beta F(s, \beta, x_F) K_0(\sqrt{-p_\perp^2 \beta/x_F}) \quad (10)$$

where $K_0(x)$ is the modified Bessel function. The amplitude $F(s, \beta, x_F)$ has the poles in the β -plane determined by equation

$$\beta_n(s) = \frac{\zeta^2}{M^2} \{ \ln g(s) + i\pi n \}^2, \quad n = \pm 1, \pm 3, \dots \quad (11)$$

and a branching point at $\beta = 0$. The poles and cut contributions determine the behavior of the inclusive cross-section of pion production at moderate and large values of $p_\perp$ correspondingly, i.e. it will have in the region of large $p_\perp$ power-like dependence on $p_\perp$:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\xi} \propto G_c^2(s, x_F) \left(1 + \frac{p_\perp^2}{x_F^2 M^2}\right)^{-3}, \quad (12)$$

⁴One should remember that all formulas below are valid for the fragmentation region, i.e. for the large x_F region

while at smaller values of $p_\perp$ inclusive cross-section would have the exponential $p_\perp$ -dependence:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\xi} \propto G_p^2(s, x_F) \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi\zeta}{M} \frac{p_\perp}{x_F}\right). \quad (13)$$

It should be noted that the value of the inverse slope $Mx_F/2\pi\zeta$ is about a pion mass value since parameter $\zeta = 2$ is fixed in the model. The data for the pion production are available at the moderate values of $p_\perp$ and the experimental fits to the data [25] of the form

$$A(1 - x_F)^n e^{-B(x_F)p_\perp}$$

just follow to Eq. (13) when relevant parameterizations for the function $\bar{g}(x_F)^5$ are chosen.

The contribution of poles can be interpreted as a result of a collective resonances excited in the constituent quark matter in the interaction region (where interaction radius is $R^2(s) = \frac{\zeta^2}{M^2} \ln g(s)$). These resonances could have a relation to the collective resonance phenomena discussed in [27].

At high values of $p_\perp$ the power-like dependence should take place according to Eq. 12. In the energy region of $\sqrt{s} \leq 2$ TeV the functions G_p and G_c have very slow variation with energy due to the numerical values of parameters [26]. It should be noted that Eq. (12) leads to $p_\perp^{-6}$ dependence at large $p_\perp$. This is in agreement with $p_\perp^{-N}$ (with the exponent $N = 6.2 \pm 0.6$) dependence of the inclusive cross-section of π^0 -production observed in forward region at large $p_\perp$ at RHIC [28]. The exponent N does not depend on x_F and choosing relevant function $\bar{g}(x_F)$ the $(1 - x_F)^{5.1 \pm 0.6}$ dependence of experimental data can be easily reproduced. Thus, in the approach with effective degrees of freedom – constituent quarks and Goldstone bosons – differential cross-section at high transverse momenta has a typical power-like dependence on $p_\perp$ and is in agreement with experimental data. It originates from the singularity at zero impact parameter $b = 0$ (head-on collision). In the framework of the model this singularity should be related to the constituent quarks location at the hadron core.

Conclusion

The proposed mechanism deals with effective degrees of freedom and takes into account collective aspects of the nonperturbative QCD dynamics. We discussed here particle production in the fragmentation region and have shown that the power-like behavior of the differential cross-sections at large transverse momenta can be obtained in the approach which has a nonperturbative origin. It might happen that the transient stage in hadron and heavy-ion interactions have a common

⁵This function determines x_F -dependence of the functions G_p and G_c

nature and represent a strongly interacting matter of constituent quarks. This interaction should then be identical to the one responsible for formation of more complex multiquark states. Such interaction would result in collective rotation of the quark matter as a whole produced in the non-central heavy-ion collisions. This rotation should be taken then into account since it will affect the elliptic flow and would probably result in strong spin correlations of the produced particles similar to correlations predicted for hadron processes [30].

We would also like to note that this model explains an exponential dependence of inclusive cross-section in the region of moderate transverse momenta and provides a reasonable description of the energy dependence of mean multiplicity leading to its power-like growth with a small exponent [29] as a combined effect of the unitarity and account for the phase preceding hadronization when massive quark-antiquark pairs are generated.

Acknowledgement

Author is grateful to N.E. Tyurin for many interesting discussions, comments and remarks.

References

- [1] S.M. Berman, J.D. Bjorken, J. B. Kogut, Phys. Rev. D4 (1971) 3388.
- [2] V.A. Matveev, R.M. Muradian, A.N. Tavkhelidze. Nuovo Cim. Lett.7 (1973) 719.
- [3] S. J. Brodsky, G. R. Farrar, Phys.Rev.Lett.31 (1973) 1153.
- [4] G.P. Lepage, S. J. Brodsky, Phys. Rev. D 22 (1980) 2157.
- [5] J. C. Collins, M.J. Perry. Phys. Rev. Lett. 34 (1975) 1353.
- [6] P. Hoyer, Nucl. Phys. A711 (2002) 1c.
- [7] Quark Gluon Plasma. New Discoveries at RHIC: A Case of Strongly Interacting Quark Gluon Plasma. Proceedings, RBRC Workshop, Brookhaven, Upton, USA, May 14-15, 2004: D. Rischke, G. Levin, eds; 2005, 169pp. Nucl. Phys. A750 (2005) 1-169.
- [8] A. Peshier, W Cassing, Phys. Rev. Lett., 94 (2005) 172301;
M. Bluhm, B. Kämpfer, G. Soff, J. Phys. G 31 (2005) S1151;
G.E. Brown, C.H. Lee, M. Rho, nucl-th/0507011, 2005;
J. Liao, E.V. Shuryak, hep-ph/0508035, 2005.
- [9] S.A. Voloshin, Acta Physica Polonica B 36 (2005) 551;

- [10] K. Adcox et al., nucl-ex/0410003 v3, 2003.
- [11] S.M. Troshin, N.E. Tyurin, Theor. Math. Phys. 50 (1982) 150.
- [12] H. Georgi, A. Manohar, Nucl. Phys. B 234 (1984) 189;
D. Diakonov, V. Petrov, Nucl. Phys. B 245 (1984) 259;
E.V. Shuryak, Phys. Rep. 115 (1984) 151.
- [13] S. M. Troshin, N. E. Tyurin, Nuovo Cim. A 106 (1993) 327 ; Phys. Rev. D 49 (1994) 4427.
- [14] D. Diakonov, Eur. Phys. J A 24 (2005) 3.
- [15] T. Goldman and R.W. Haymaker, Phys. Rev. D 24 724 (1981).
- [16] W. Heisenberg, Z. Phys. 133 (1952) 65.
- [17] P. Carruthers, Nucl. Phys. A 418 (1984) 501.
- [18] L.P. Csernai, M.I. Gorenstein, L.L. Jenkovszky, I. Lovas, V.K. Magas, Phys. Lett. B 551 (2003) 121.
- [19] J. Zimányi, P. Lévai, T.S. Biró, hep-ph/0205192.
- [20] R.M. Weiner, hep-ph/0507115, 2005.
- [21] J.D. Bjorken, Report No. SLAC-PUB-5608, 1991 (unpublished);
E.J. Eichten, I. Hinchliffe, C. Quigg, Phys. Rev. D 45 (1992) 2269;
T.P. Cheng, L.-F. Li, Phys. Rev. Lett 80 (1998) 2789 ; Invited talk at 13th International Symposium on High-Energy Spin Physics (SPIN 98), Protvino, Russia, 8-12 Sep 1998, p. 192.
- [22] B.R. Webber, Nucl. Phys. B 87 (1975) 269 .
- [23] S.M. Troshin, N.E. Tyurin, Teor. Mat. Fiz. 28 (1976) 139 ; Z. Phys. C 45 (1989) 171.
- [24] S.M. Troshin, N.E. Tyurin. Sov. J. Part. Nucl. 15 (1984) 25.
- [25] G.J. Bobbink et al., Nucl. Phys. B 217 (1973) 11;
T.R. Cardello et al., Phys. Rev. D. 32 (1985) 1;
R.E. Ansorge et al., Nucl. Phys. B 328 (1989) 36.
- [26] S.M. Troshin, N.E. Tyurin, Phys. Rev. D 55 (1997) 7305.
- [27] M. Goldhaber, E. Teller, Phys. Rev. 74 (1948) 1046;
V.A. Kuzmin, V.M. Lobashev, V.A. Matveev, A.N. Tavkhelidze, preprint JINR, E2-8742, 1975 (unpublished);
V.A. Matveev, FERMILAB-Pub-76/52-THY, June 1976.

- [28] D.V. Morozov (for the STAR Collaboration), hep-ex/0505024, 2005.
- [29] S.M. Troshin, N.E. Tyurin, J. Phys. G 29 (2003) 1061.
- [30] S.M. Troshin, Phys. Lett. B 597 (2004) 391.